

Message

From: Bertrand, Charlotte [Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov]
Sent: 4/19/2019 4:13:27 PM
To: Schwab, Justin [Schwab.Justin@epa.gov]
CC: Beck, Nancy [Beck.Nancy@epa.gov]; Dunton, Cheryl [Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov]; Baptist, Erik [Baptist.Erik@epa.gov]; Dunn, Alexandra [dunn.alexandra@epa.gov]; Lis-Coghlan, Kamila [lis-coghlan.kamila@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Press inquiry due today at noon: E&E on chlorpyrifos

Thanks Justin, I agree we need to have unified process. I have not seen the other emails. Could you help us get the threads connecting?

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 19, 2019, at 11:40 AM, Schwab, Justin <Schwab.Justin@epa.gov> wrote:

There are at least four different email threads going on now about whether/how to respond. We need to make sure we have a unified process here.

From: Beck, Nancy
Sent: Friday, April 19, 2019 11:40 AM
To: Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov>
Cc: Bertrand, Charlotte <Bertrand.Charlotte@epa.gov>; Baptist, Erik <Baptist.Erik@epa.gov>; Dunn, Alexandra <dunn.alexandra@epa.gov>; Schwab, Justin <Schwab.Justin@epa.gov>; Lis-Coghlan, Kamila <lis-coghlan.kamila@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Press inquiry due today at noon: E&E on chlorpyrifos

Looping in OGC.

Nancy B. Beck, Ph.D., DABT
Principal Deputy Assistant Administrator
Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention
P: 202-564-1273
beck.nancy@epa.gov

On Apr 19, 2019, at 11:13 AM, Dunton, Cheryl <Dunton.Cheryl@epa.gov> wrote:

See request below. Want to use the standard no comment on pending litigation?

Morning,

I'm writing about the 9th Circuit's decision just now ordering EPA to reach a final decision responding to objections to the agency's chlorpyrifos policy within 90 days. Please let me know if EPA would like to comment.

Case Name:	LULAC, et al v. Andrew Wheeler, et al
Case Number:	<u>17-71636</u>
Document(s):	<u>Document(s)</u>

Docket Text:

Order filed for PUBLICATION (SIDNEY R. THOMAS, M. MARGARET MCKEOWN, KIM MCLANE WARDLAW, RICHARD A. PAEZ, CARLOS T. BEA, MORGAN B. CHRISTEN, JACQUELINE H. NGUYEN, PAUL J. WATFORD, JOHN B. OWENS, MICHELLE T. FRIEDLAND and MARK J. BENNETT) In its opening brief, the League of United Latin American Citizens (LULAC) requested, as alternative relief, the issuance of a Writ of Mandamus. The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) conceded at oral argument that we may consider LULAC's request as a Petition for a Writ of Mandamus, and it had a full opportunity to respond. In view of the circumstances presented by the petition, we exercise our discretion to construe the opening brief as a request for mandamus relief. See *Pub. Utils. Comm'n v. FERC*, 814 F.2d 560, 562 (9th Cir. 1987) (construing premature petition for review as request for mandamus relief). Considering the history and chronology of this matter and the nature of the claims, we conclude mandamus is appropriate, and we hereby GRANT the Petition for a Writ of Mandamus. See *Telecommunications Research & Action Center v. FCC*, 750 F.2d 70, 79–80 (D.C. Cir. 1984) (laying out criteria for mandamus relief); see also *In re PANNA*, 798 F.3d 809, 813–14 (9th Cir. 2015) (applying the “TRAC factors” in earlier litigation related to this case). (SEE ORDER FOR FULL TEXT) At oral argument, EPA represented that it could issue a final decision with respect to petitioners' objections within 90 days of an order issued by this court. EPA is hereby ordered to issue, no later than 90 days after the filing of this order, a full and final decision on LULAC's objections pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 346a(g)(2)(C). Given this resolution, we need not—and do not—decide any other issue urged by the parties. The en

banc court shall retain jurisdiction over this
and any related cases. PETITION
GRANTED. [11269636] (RMM)

Thanks,

Ellen

Ellen M. Gilmer
E&E News legal editor and reporter
egilmer@eenews.net
202-446-0413 (office)
202-701-0125 (mobile)
[@ellengilmer](https://twitter.com/ellengilmer)

E&E NEWS

122 C Street NW 7th Floor Washington, DC 20001
www.eenews.net | [@EENewsUpdates](https://twitter.com/EENewsUpdates)
Energywire, Climatewire, Greenwire, E&E Daily, E&E
News PM